

Effect of Fibre Orientation on Mode-I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Glass Epoxy Composites

M. R. SHETTY, K. R. VIJAY KUMAR, S. SUDHIR,
P. RAGHU AND A. D. MADHURANATH
*Department of Polymer Science and Technology
SJCE
Mysore, India*

R. M. V. G. K. RAO¹
*FRP Pilot Plant Unit
National Aerospace Laboratories
Bangalore, 560 017 India*

ABSTRACT: The mode-I interlaminar fracture toughness (IFT) property of room temperature (RT) cured glass-epoxy (LY556/HY951) composites was studied using a double cantilever beam (DCB) test specimen with unidirectional fibre orientations of 0°, +45°/-45°, +60°/-60° and 90° in the neighborhood of the crack zone. Three IFT data reduction techniques, viz.: (1) Modified Beam Theory (MBT), (2) Compliance Calibration Theory (CC) and (3) Modified Compliance Calibration Theory (MCC) were verified for the DCB data generated for 0° fibre oriented specimens. The fracture toughness property estimates were noted to be approximately the same for all three techniques. Only the MBT reduction procedure (as per ASTM) was used for other orientations. It was observed that the IFT increased as the fibre orientation was changed from 0° to 90° with reference to the direction of crack propagation.

KEY WORDS: Mode-I interlaminar fracture toughness, double cantilever beam, delamination, fibre orientation.

INTRODUCTION

SUSCEPTIBILITY TO DELAMINATION is one of the inherent weaknesses of even advanced laminated composite structures [1]. This often precipitates component

¹Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.




Figure 1. Modes of Interlaminar Fracture Toughness.

repair or replacement, inhibiting fleet readiness in case of aircraft and results in increased lifecycle costs. Delamination growth in composites can occur too rapidly over a fairly small range of load, and hence the interlaminar fracture toughness (IFT), G_c , needs to be considered in damage tolerance analysis [2-4].

The IFT property of a composite is a measure of its ability to resist interlaminar propagation of a "delamination or crack like" defect, which incidentally constitutes a vital energy absorption process. Fibre surface treatment, matrix toughness/brittleness, process conditions, fibre volume fraction and environmental variables influence this IFT property.




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the DCB specimen.

IFT measurements are made by three basic modes, as shown in Figure 1, in terms of the strain energy release rate (G_I or G_{II} or G_{III}), i.e., the energy dissipated per unit area of delamination growth [5,6].

Mode-I interlaminar fracture toughness (G_{Ic}) values are usually measured by using a double cantilever beam (DCB) specimen (Figure 2) [7-10].

Studies on fibre orientation effects on IFT property of laminated composites are of practical significance, since in aircraft structures, different fibre orientations are used by designers, as for instance 0° orientation used in spar-caps and multi-angular constructions in wing skins.

As literature does not provide data on comparing the effects of fibre orientation on the G_{Ic} , studies were carried out and reported for DCB specimens, incorporating unidirectional glass epoxy layups with crack propagation zone/plane having orientations of 0° , $+45^\circ/-45^\circ$, $+60^\circ/-60^\circ$ and 90° .

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Three initiation values of G_{Ic} have been defined [11]. These include G_{Ic} values determined using the load and deflection measured (1) at the point of deviation from linearity in the load displacement curve (NL), (2) at the onset of delamination visually observed on the edge (VIS) measured with a magnifying lens and (3) at the point where the compliance has increased by 5% or where the load has reached a maximum value (5%/max). The NL or non-linearity G_{Ic} initiation value, which is typically the lowest of the three, was recommended for evolving the delamination failure criteria useful in the analysis of damage tolerance of laminated composite structures. In round robin testing of AS4/PEEK thermoplastic matrix composites, NL- G_{Ic} values were 20% lower than VIS and 5%/max values [10]. Physical evidence indicated that the initiation values corresponding to the onset of non-linearity (NL) in the load displacement plot corresponds to the physical onset of delamination at the tip of the insert found in the mid-plane of the specimen width [12].

EXPERIMENTAL

Test Laminate Preparation

Room temperature cured E-glass/epoxy (LY556/HY951) was the composite system used in these studies. As shown in Figure 3, 14 layers of bi-directional (BID) glass fabric were used to make up the outer composite substrate of the laminate and 4 layers of unidirectional glass tape made up the inner middle layers (variable fibre orientation zone) of the laminate of size 300 mm \times 200 mm. The total




Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the test laminate cross section.

thickness of the laminate was measured at 3 mm using a compression press and accurate spacers. A Teflon insert of thickness 3 μm , and length 50 mm, was used in the laminate as an artificial delaminator or crack initiator. Figure 3 shows the schematic cross section of the test laminate out of which the DCB test specimens of size 140 mm \times 25 mm (Figure 2) were sliced off.

DCB Test Specimen Preparation

The dimensional features of the DCB specimen are shown in Figure 2. Piano hinges were riveted to composite tabs and these tabs were glued using a cyanoacrylate adhesive to the specimen at the Teflon insert end of the specimen.

The edge of each specimen just ahead of the insert was coated with a thin layer of water-based typewriter correction fluid to facilitate visual detection of delamination onset. The first 5 mm from the insert, were marked with thin vertical lines every 1 mm apart. The remaining part of the specimen was marked 5 mm apart. The delamination length was found as the sum of the distances from the loading line to the end of the insert plus the increment of the growth determined by following the tick marks.

Photographs of the DCB specimens are shown in Figures 4a and 4b.

DCB Test Procedure

A Universal Testing Machine (Instron 6025) was used to conduct the DCB tests. The hinges on the specimen were mounted in the grips of the loading machine, making sure that the specimen is aligned and centered. The crosshead speed is set at 0.5 mm/min to ensure a steady crack propagation monitored using a magnifying lens.

The specimen was loaded continuously in displacement control mode. The load




Figure 4a. Photograph of DCB test specimens.




Figure 4b. Photograph of DCB test in progress.

(P) versus opening displacement (δ) was recorded on an X - Y chart recorder. The delamination front was observed visually from the end of the Teflon insert. When the delamination grows from the end of the Teflon insert, this point was marked as a_0 on the plot of P vs δ . Therefore a_0 will be the initial delamination length, i.e., the distance from the load line to the end of the Teflon insert. As the delamination length grew from the end of the Teflon insert, due to the load applied, the delamination front positions were marked on the P vs δ trace, in the sequence a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , ..., a_n . For the first 5 mm of crack growth, the instantaneous delamination front positions were recorded at 1 mm intervals. After the first 5 mm of delamination growth from the Teflon insert border, every 5 mm of delamination growth was indicated on the X - Y plot. The values of P and δ are used in the evaluation of IFT.

The visually observed point of delamination onset is marked on the P vs δ curve as a_0 . This point would give the load and displacement required to calculate the visually observed G_{Ic} initiation value or VIS. The point where non-linearity starts on the P vs δ curve is also marked. This point was considered to calculate the non-linearity G_{Ic} initiation value or NL.

Another type of G_{Ic} initiation value is the 5% offset/maximum initiation value




Figure 5. A common load displacement trace from a DCB test.

or 5%/max. In this case, the initiation value is calculated by determining the intersection of the load-deflection curve, once it has become nonlinear, with a line drawn from the origin and offset by a 5% increase in compliance from the original linear region of the load-displacement curve (Figure 5). In case the intersection occurs after the maximum load point, the maximum load value should be used to calculate this initiation value.

The data obtained from the DCB test is used to calculate the G_{Ic} , using three data reduction techniques as follows:

1. *Modified Beam Theory (MBT) method [13]*: A least square of the cube root of compliance, $C^{1/3}$, as a function of the delamination length, a , is generated. The compliance (δ/P) is the ratio of the load point displacement to the applied load. The intercept of this line on the x -axis is recorded as Δ . The G_{Ic} is calculated using the following expression:

$$G_{Ic} = \frac{3P\delta}{2b(a + |\Delta|)} \quad (1)$$

where P is the load in N, δ is the load point displacement, b is the width of the specimen, a is the delamination length, and Δ is the intercept of the plot of $C^{1/3}$ vs a on the a -axis.

2. *Compliance Calibration (CC) method [14]*: A least squares plot of $\log(\delta_i/P_i)$ versus $\log a_i$ is generated using the visually observed delamination onset values and all the propagation values. The slope of this line is recorded as n . The G_{Ic} values are obtained using the following expression:

$$G_{Ic} = \frac{nP\delta}{2ba} \quad (2)$$

3. *Modified Compliance Calibration (MCC) method [15]*: A least squares plot of a/h as a function of the cube root of the compliance, $C^{1/3}$, was generated using the visually observed delamination onset values and all the propagation values. The slope of this line is A_1 .

The following expression was used to calculate G_{Ic} :

$$G_{Ic} = \frac{3P^2C^{2/3}}{2A_1bh} \quad (3)$$

where h is the thickness of the specimen.

The DCB test procedure used during these studies was a lengthy, steady and rigorous procedure. The values presented herein were obtained for one specimen in the case of each fibre orientation.

Table 1. G_{Ic} initiation and propagation values for room temperature cured 0° orientation.

a (mm) Delamination Length	δ (mm) Displacement	P (N) Load	$C = \delta/P$ (mm/N)	G_{Ic} (J/m ²) Initiation Values	MBT	CC	MCC
50	11.68	12.1264	0.9631877	NL	136.6228	143.7258	132.1525
50	12.48	13.4691	0.9265653	VIS	162.1443	170.5741	158.8785
50	16.50	16.3000	1.0123000	5%/max	259.4299	272.9177	246.8180
a (mm) Delamination Length	δ (mm) Displacement	P (N) Load	$C = \delta/P$ (mm/N)	G_{Ic} (J/m ²) Propagation Values	MBT	CC	MCC
51	13.64	14.8916	0.9159527	Prop	192.8314	202.0764	192.7238
52	14.66	15.8922	0.9224651	Prop	217.7320	227.3237	220.5322
53	15.78	16.3238	0.9666868	Prop	237.0392	246.5939	240.0511
54	17.35	16.7653	1.0348760	Prop	263.6286	273.3047	264.9835
55	18.93	16.5102	1.1465640	Prop	279.0446	288.3170	275.1530
60	21.41	17.1675	1.2471240	Prop	305.4409	310.8154	314.6477
65	26.79	15.6666	1.7100070	Prop	326.1907	327.6153	323.4091
70	29.22	15.1663	1.9266400	Prop	323.4670	321.2121	328.1686
75	32.24	14.0774	2.2901960	Prop	312.2795	307.0339	317.2713
80	36.20	13.0767	2.7682830	Prop	308.0483	300.2249	310.6519
85	42.33	12.8609	3.2913720	Prop	336.0445	324.9607	337.2341
90	46.76	11.4973	4.0670420	Prop	315.6191	303.0804	310.3475
95	52.41	11.9976	4.3683740	Prop	351.9313	335.8265	354.4373
100	66.63	11.2521	5.0328390	Prop	340.7468	323.3033	342.6205

Table 2. G_{Ic} propagation values of room temperature cured glass epoxy specimens for different orientations.

a (mm)	G_{Ic} (J/m ²)			
	0°	+45°/+45°/-45°/-45°	+60°/+60°/-60°/-60°	90°
51	192.8314	278.7449	277.1419	436.0587
52	217.7320	303.0014	307.9624	479.5282
53	237.0392	292.1965	335.1141	556.1461
54	263.6286	300.0288	342.4193	633.4319
55	279.0446	302.3245	353.2673	678.9705
60	305.4409	377.0520	453.4111	845.5216
65	326.1907	451.3348	530.0248	876.0215
70	323.4670	503.1511	538.9803	787.2975
75	312.2795	573.0416	569.5624	901.1874
80	308.0483	612.6463	591.4561	1002.7670
85	336.0445	657.8785	577.4237	1079.9770
90	315.6191	681.1161	617.3491	1104.8010
95	351.9313	732.9820	668.6404	1122.8340
100	340.7468	820.2808	774.3384	1280.2750

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Table 1 shows the experimental values of P , δ and a , for the room temperature 0° fibre oriented specimen. The P vs δ curve is plotted as shown in Figure 6, to identify the G_{Ic} initiation values (NL, VIS and 5%/max) on lines of schematic Figure 5. Further, these experimental values are plotted using the MBT, CC and MCC data reduction techniques, as shown in Figures 7, 8 and 9. Since the G_{Ic} values, as seen from Table 1, were, in general, the lowest for the MBT technique, further analysis is carried out using the MBT values for all orientations and cure techniques.

Figure 10 shows room temperature cured values as a function of delamination length a . It can be noted that the G_{Ic} values remain constant beyond $a = 60$ mm.

Effect of Fibre Orientation

Table 2 and Figure 11 compare the G_{Ic} propagation values calculated by MBT method for RT- cured specimens consisting of different fibre orientations in the crack propagation zone. As can be observed, the G_{Ic} propagation values for the 0° orientations are the lowest while those of the +45°/-45° and the +60°/-60° are similar. The G_{Ic} propagation values of the 90° orientation specimen are the highest of all, and are almost twice those of the 0° orientation specimen.

The effect of post-cure was also studied in case of 0° orientation which had presented the most conservative effect of the IFT property. A post-cure schedule of 85°C for 2 hrs was used to cure the specimen. Figure 12 compares the G_{Ic} values of




Figure 6. P vs δ curve. x-axis: load in newtons; y-axis: displacement in metres.

Cep 12




Figure 7. MBT curve. x-axis: delamination length in metres; y-axis: $(\text{compliance})^{1/3}$.

Cep




Figure 10. Delamination resistance curve (R-curve) for room temperature cured 0° oriented DCB specimen. x-axis: delamination length in millimetres; y-axis: interlaminar fracture toughness in joules/metres.

Cap




Figure 11. Effect of fibre orientation on G_{IIc} propagation values of room temperature cured glass epoxy specimen. x-axis: delamination length in millimetres; y-axis: interlaminar fracture toughness in joules/(metres)².

Cap




Figure 12. Effect of fibre orientation on G_{IC} propagation values of room temperature cured 0° oriented specimen and step post-cured 0° oriented specimen. x-axis: delamination length in millimetres; y-axis: interlaminar fracture toughness in joules/(metres)².




Figure 13. Comparison of G_{IC} initiation values and propagation values at $a = 51.5$ mm for room temperature cured specimens of different orientations. x-axis: degree of fibre orientation; y-axis: interlaminar fracture toughness in joules/(metres)². □ Non-linearity G_{IC} initiation values (NL), ▨ Visually observed G_{IC} initiation values (VIS), ▩ 5%/max G_{IC} initiation values (5%/max), ■ G_{IC} initiation values at $a = 51.5$ mm.

the RT cured and the post-cured 0° orientation specimen. It can be seen that the post-cure of the specimen has resulted in higher G_{Ic} values.

G_{Ic} Initiation Values

Figure 13 compares the three G_{Ic} initiation values and the G_{Ic} propagation value at the delamination length, $a = 51.5$ mm for all the orientations. We see that the NL- G_{Ic} initiation values are the lowest among the three G_{Ic} initiation values. It is also seen that the effect of fibre orientation on the G_{Ic} initiation values is similar to that on the G_{Ic} propagation values.

CONCLUSIONS

When delamination takes place in the 0° fibre orientation specimen, the crack propagates in a direction parallel to the direction of orientation of the fibre [Figure 14(a)], offering very little resistance. It was also observed that step post-cured 0° orientation specimen exhibited an increase in the G_{Ic} values.

It is also seen that the G_{Ic} propagation values for the $+45^\circ/-45^\circ$ and the $+60^\circ/-60^\circ$ specimens are close to each other, apparently due to similarity in resistance offered by the fibres [Figures 14(b) and 14(c)]. It is further observed that the




Figure 14. Schematic representation of crack propagation in composites for different fibre orientations.

90° orientation specimen exhibits the highest fracture resistance, indicating that fibre reinforced composites offer high fracture resistance and durability when their fibres are oriented transverse to the crack propagation line [Figure 14(d)].

Though the 0° specimen offers the least resistance to crack propagation, this property can form a very realistic comparative estimate of the matrix toughness capability.

REFERENCES

1. O'Brien, T.K., "Delamination Durability of Composite Materials for Rotorcrafts," NASA/Army Rotorcraft Technology, NASA CP2495, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C., 1988, pp. 573-605.
2. Mall, S., Yun, K.T., and Kochnar, N.K., "Characterization of Matrix Toughness Effects on Cyclic Growth in Graphite Fibre Composite Materials," *Fatigue and Fracture*, Cincinnati, OH, April 1987.
3. O'Brien, T.K., "Generic Aspects of Delamination in Fatigue of Composite Materials," *Journal of American Helicopter Society*, Vol. 32, No. 1, January 1987, pp. 13-18.
4. Martin, R.H. and Murri, G.B., "Characterization of Mode I and Mode II Delamination Growth and Thresholds in Graphite/PEEK Composites," NASA TM 100577, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C., 1988.
5. William, J.G., "Fracture Mechanics of Anisotropic Materials," *Composite Material Series Application of Fracture Mechanics to Composite Materials*, Vol. 6, Klaus Friedrich, Ed., 1989, pp. 3-38.
6. Jayant, M.M. and Donald, F.A., "Energy Release Rate During Delamination Crack Growth in Notched Composite Laminates," *Delamination and Debonding of Materials*, ASTM STP 876, W.S. Johnson, Ed., 1985, pp. 100-101.
7. Davies, P. and Benzeggagh, M.L., "Interlaminar Mode I Fracture Testing," *Composite Material Series: Application of Fracture Mechanics to Composite Materials*, Vol. 6, Klaus Friedrich, 1989, pp. 81-112.
8. Roderick, H. and Martin, G.B.M., "Characterization of Mode I and Mode II Delamination Growth and Thresholds in AS4/PEEK Composites," *Composite Materials: Testing and Design (ASTM STP-1059)*, Garbo, Ed., Vol. 9, February 1990, pp. 252-256.
9. Chai, H., "Bond Thickness Effect in Adhesive Joints and Its Significance for Mode I Interlaminar Fracture of Composites," *Composite Materials: Testing and Design (ASTM STP 893) Seventh Conference*, James W. Whitney, Ed., pp. 209-212.
10. "Standard Test Method for Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Unidirectional Fibre Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites," *ASTM D5528-95a*, American Society for Testing and Materials, May 1994.
11. O'Brien, T.K. and Martin, R.H., "Results of ASTM Round Robin Testing for Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Composite Materials," *ASTM Journal of Composites Technology and Research*, Vol. 15, No. 4, Winter 1993 (also in NASA TM 104222, 1992).
12. De Kalbarmatten, T., Jaggi, R., Flueter, P., Kausch, H.H. and Davies, P., "Microfocus Radiography Studies during Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Tests on Composites," *Journal of Materials Science Letters*, Vol. 11, 1992, pp. 543-546.
13. Hashemi, S., Kinloch, A.J., and Williams, J.G., "Correction Needed in Double Cantilever Beam Tests for Assessing the Interlaminar Failure of Fibre Composites," *Journal of Materials Science Letters*, Vol. 8, 1989, pp. 125-129.
14. Berry, J.P., "Determination of Fracture Energies by the Cleavage Technique," *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 34, No. 1, January 1963, pp. 62-68.
15. Kageyama, K. and Hojo, M., "Proposed Method for Interlaminar Fracture Toughness Tests of Composite Laminates," *Proceedings of the 5th U.S./Japan Conference on Composite Materials*, Tokyo, June 1990, pp. 227-234.